

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/915,549	07/27/2001	Rainer H. Muller	662-57773	7384
20736 75	590 09/25/2002			
MANELLI DENISON & SELTER			EXAMINER	
2000 M STREET NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3307			SHEIKH, HUMERA N	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20030-3307			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			DATE MAILED: 09/25/2002	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		09/915,549	MULLER, RAINER H.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Humera N Sheikh	1615			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	reals on the cover sheet with	me correspondence address			
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failur - Any II	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 L	December 2001 (paper no.5)	<u>.</u>			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims	Ex parte Quayro, 1900 C.D.	11, 400 0.0. 210.			
4)🛛	4) Claim(s) 1-148 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
<i>,</i> —	Claim(s) <u>1-148</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
	ion Papers	_				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* (	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
	<ul> <li>The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>	• •				
Attachmen	nt(s)	eric.				
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/915,549 Page 2

Art Unit: 1615

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Status of the Application

Acknowledgement is made of the receipt of the Drawings, the Extension of Fees

and the IDS, all filed 12/03/01.

Claims 1-148 are pending. Claims 1-148 are subject to an Election/Restriction

requirement.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-66 and 143-148, drawn to a dispersion which comprises: an oily

phase; an aqueous phase, in the form of an oil-in-water emulsion or a

water-in-oil emulsion; and at least one active ingredient, classified in class

424, subclass 439.

II. Claims 67-142, drawn to a method for the production of dispersion,

classified in class 264, subclass 4.1.

Application/Control Number: 09/915,549

Art Unit: 1615

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Election of Species:

- (a) Oil-in-water emulsion
- (b) Water-in-oil emulsion

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Application/Control Number: 09/915,549 Page 4

Art Unit: 1615

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Election of Administration:

- (a) Topically (eye)
- (b) Orally; perorally
- (c) Parenterally, intravenously, intra- and subcutaneously, intramuscularly, intra-articularly or intraperitoneally

Application/Control Number: 09/915,549

Art Unit: 1615

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1615

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Humera Sheikh whose telephone number is (703) 308-

4429. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00A.M.

to 4:30P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thurman Page, can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1235.

Page 6